

South Ribble Borough Council

Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Development Plan Document, Submission Version: October 2012

Statement of Compliance with the Duty to Co-operate

Introduction

1. The Duty to Co-operate (the Duty) is set out in Section 110 of the Localism Act 2011, which adds a new section (33A) into the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 relating to the Duty. This applies to all local planning authorities, county councils in England and to a number of other “prescribed” bodies. Regulation 4 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 sets out who those “prescribed” bodies are (see paragraph 16 and 17 below).
2. This Statement of Compliance with the Duty to Co-operate covers the Publication version of South Ribble Borough Council’s Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Development Plan Document (DPD). It has been issued in draft alongside the Publication version of the DPD to enable all neighbouring authorities and “prescribed” bodies and all other interested parties to comment on this legal requirement.
3. The Localism Act and the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires local planning authorities, county councils and other “prescribed” bodies to co-operate with each other to address strategic matters relevant to their areas in the preparation of a development plan document. The duty requires constructive and active engagement on the preparation of development plan documents and other activities relating to the sustainable development and use of land, in particular in connection with strategic infrastructure or matters that would fall under the remit of a county council.
4. Paragraph 181 of the NPPF states that *“Local planning authorities will be expected to demonstrate evidence of having effectively co-operated to plan for issues with cross-boundary impacts when their Local Plans are submitted for examination”*. This Statement of Compliance with the Duty to Co-operate will accompany the Submission of the DPD and sets out the evidence as to how South Ribble Borough Council has co-operated with neighbouring authorities and the “prescribed” bodies in the preparation of the DPD in relation to issues with potential cross-boundary impacts.
5. Paragraph 181 also provides examples of how evidence of co-operation may be demonstrated, such as jointly prepared strategies or planning policies, joint committees with neighbouring authorities to make decisions or memoranda of understanding to agree how authorities and bodies will co-operate with each other as they prepare planning policy or strategy.
6. The NPPF also provides further guidance on the Duty, focusing on *“planning issues that cross administrative boundaries, particularly those which relate to the **strategic priorities** set out in paragraph 156”*. (§178, NPPF). The NPPF is clear that local planning authorities (LPAs) and other public bodies should work collaboratively on these strategic priorities and reflect this in Local Plans. These strategic priorities are:

- the homes and jobs needed in the area;
 - the provision of retail, leisure and other commercial development;
 - the provision of infrastructure for transport, telecommunications, waste management, water supply, wastewater, flood risk and coastal change management, and the provision of minerals and energy (including heat);
 - the provision of health, security, community and cultural infrastructure and other local facilities; and
 - climate change mitigation and adaptation, conservation and enhancement of the natural and historic environment, including landscape.
7. It is these strategic priorities that provide the framework for this Statement of Compliance, and the Statement will address each priority in turn below.

The South Ribble Context

8. South Ribble Borough Council is in Lancashire. It is situated to the south of the river Ribble, and Leyland is its largest town. The Borough is administered within a two-tier local government structure, with Lancashire County Council providing many public services including education, social services, minerals and waste planning, highways and economic development. It lies within the Central Lancashire sub-region with strong connections to Preston and Chorley, but it also has important connections with parts of Greater Manchester (especially Wigan), Ribble Valley, Blackburn and West Lancashire.

Joint Committee

9. Joint working on the Central Lancashire Core Strategy arose out of a recognition by the three District Councils (Preston, Chorley and South Ribble) that their local authority areas are strongly connected by:
- the local housing market;
 - the local economy; and
 - travel to work patterns.
10. There is a great deal of evidence indicating that Central Lancashire is a largely self-contained economic area with a single housing market and good connectivity between its main settlements through transport links. Many of the same spatial planning issues are found across Central Lancashire and working together creates the opportunity to address these matters in an efficient and effective way.
11. The Local Planning Authorities of Chorley, Preston and South Ribble, assisted by Lancashire County Council, decided to work together under Section 28 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. This formal decision to collaborate was a necessary precursor to the production of the joint Core Strategy. Such joint working arrangements have been encouraged by Government as it should lead to better plan making and plans that can better deal with functional areas.
12. To help co-ordinate the collaborative work a Joint Advisory Committee (JAC) was set up. This Committee oversees the preparation of LDF documents in an advisory capacity, executive decisions remain with the individual District Councils. The Committee's first meeting was held on 12 June 2008 at Chorley Town Hall. The Committee has 10 Members, including the Executive Member responsible for Planning, from each District together with the relevant Executive Member from Lancashire County Council.

Jointly prepared strategies or policies

13. South Ribble has collaborated with Preston and Chorley Councils to produce the Central Lancashire Core Strategy, which was adopted in July 2012. The three local authority districts have an estimated combined population of 356,500 people in 2011. The combined area functions as one integrated local economy and travel to work area. It is a single market area; nearly 80% of house moves take place within it. It is appropriate and efficient to consider the similar issues facing Central Lancashire in a collaborative way and so better plan for the future of the area.
14. In addition to the Core Strategy, the three Councils have jointly gathered a significant body of evidence (see appendices 1-3) and are also collaborating on a series of Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs). Each Council is preparing separate Site Allocations DPDs, derived from the policies contained in the Core Strategy, and written to a common structure and approach.

Memoranda of Understanding / Statement of Compliance

15. Given the extensive joint working on the Central Lancashire Core Strategy, and advanced stage that South Ribble had reached in preparing the DPD when the Duty to Co-operate guidance was published, South Ribble Borough Council has prepared a Statement of Compliance. This statement summarises the evidence of the wide range of collaborative work with neighbouring authorities (including those outside the Central Lancashire sub-region) and other bodies in preparing the DPD.
16. The following are those local planning authorities, county councils and “prescribed” bodies that are relevant in the context of the Duty for South Ribble:
 - Chorley Borough Council
 - Fylde Borough Council
 - Preston City Council
 - Ribble Valley Borough Council
 - West Lancashire Borough Council
 - Blackburn with Darwen Unitary Authority (as neighbouring authority, highway authority, and minerals and waste authority)
 - Lancashire County Council (as County Council, transport and highway authority, and minerals and waste authority)
 - the Environment Agency
 - English Heritage
 - Natural England
 - The Highways Agency
 - the Homes and Communities Agency
 - the Central Lancashire NHS (as the Primary Care Trust)
 - the Office of Rail Regulation
 - the Civil Aviation Authority
 - the Lancashire Local Enterprise Partnership

17. South Ribble Borough Council has also co-operated with several other bodies and organisations on strategic issues relevant to the DPD, and these are set out below:
- Network Rail
 - Utility Providers (United Utilities, National Grid, Electricity North West)
 - the Coal Authority
 - Lancashire County Council (as education authority)
 - Sport England
 - the South Ribble Local Strategic Partnership (LSP)
 - the Emergency Services
 - Chorley and South Ribble NHS Clinical Commissioning Group

Co-operation in the Preparation of the Local Plan

18. Table A provides a summary of the collaborative work South Ribble Borough Council (SRBC) has undertaken in preparing the DPD, and who it has co-operated with on each aspect of that work. The Table is based upon the five strategic priorities set out in paragraph 156 of the NPPF and identifies strategic issues specific to South Ribble under each of these priorities. The nature of each of these strategic issues is then briefly set out, along with who is affected / obliged to co-operate on that issue, who has co-operated with whom, and how this was done, and finally the outcome of that co-operation for that strategic issue.
19. As well as the co-operation undertaken on the strategic issues set out in Table A, South Ribble Borough Council has collaborated with several of the “prescribed” bodies as a matter of course on various general aspects of the preparation of the DPD.

The Environment Agency, English Heritage and Natural England

20. These three organisations are statutory consultees in the DPD preparation process and therefore have been consulted at all stages of the preparation for the South Ribble Site Allocations DPD and, prior to this, the Central Lancashire Core Strategy DPD, as well as the Sustainability Appraisals (SA) and Habitat Regulations Assessments (HRA) at each stage of preparation.
21. The Environment Agency has co-operated with the Central Lancashire Councils on a number of matters relating to flood risk, drainage and waste water treatment throughout the preparation of the Local Plan, including the preparation of the Central Lancashire Water Cycle Study (2011).

The Homes and Communities Agency (HCA)

22. The HCA has been consulted throughout the preparation of the DPD and, prior to this, the Central Lancashire Core Strategy DPD. South Ribble Borough Council and the HCA have co-operated mainly on matters relating to the identification of sites for development through the Core Strategy and the Site Allocations DPD.

Central Lancashire Primary Care Trust (PCT)

23. The PCT has been involved throughout the preparation of the DPD and, prior to this, the Central Lancashire Core Strategy DPD and have contributed to the preparation of a Health Impact Assessment (HIA) at each stage of the DPD / Core Strategy. This HIA has directly informed the formulation of policy as the DPD has evolved.

Transport and Highway Authorities

24. The National Planning Policy Framework states that Local authorities should work with neighbouring authorities and transport providers to develop strategies for the provision of viable infrastructure which is necessary to support sustainable development. Lancashire County Council (LCC), as transport authority and highway authority covering South Ribble, has been consulted throughout the preparation of the DPD and, prior to this, the Core Strategy. This has informed all aspects of transport infrastructure provision in the Site Allocations DPD and the potential impacts on transport infrastructure of the development proposals in the DPD.
25. The County Council is currently working on a Central Lancashire Transport Masterplan, as well as the implementation of the Local Transport Plan 3, including the review of implementation plans on a 3 yearly cycle. This work will demonstrate the wider transport infrastructure that is needed to address both the current and future transport issues within Central Lancashire, such as relieving congestion on the roads and the delivery of necessary improvements on the public transport networks.

Lancashire Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP)

26. The LEP where appropriate has been involved in discussions on particular strategic sites/projects which it seeks to deliver and are referred to in the plans.

Impact on Neighbouring Authorities

27. The final column of Table A sets out where the DPD may have an impact on neighbouring authorities. This shows that there are some cross-boundary strategic issues which warrant continued collaboration and monitoring, not only to demonstrate the legal compliance aspect of the Duty to Co-operate, but the soundness aspects of the Duty as well.
28. Paragraph 182 of the NPPF describes how a plan that is positively prepared is one that also meets "*the unmet requirements from neighbouring authorities where it is reasonable to do so and consistent with achieving sustainable development*".
29. In relation to this guidance, no neighbouring authority has approached South Ribble to meet any unmet requirement, and South Ribble has not sought to devolve any of its own unmet requirements to a neighbouring authority. However, Table A does explain two matters where the distribution of development or development potential is uneven: the first is in the identification of employment sites, and the second relates to the development of comparison retail floorspace.
30. In the first of these, the Council benefits from the historic and regional designation of two Strategic employment sites (at Cuerden and BAE Systems, Samlesbury. Part of the BAE development site lies within Ribble Valley Borough Council). This means that the Council has more employment land than required

for the sustainable growth of the Borough's economy alone. However, the intention is that some of the land allocated on the Strategic Sites will serve the employment requirements of neighbouring authorities. In the second, the Council is committed to the hierarchy of settlements and retail provision as set out in the Core Strategy, with Preston identified as the principal settlement. The development of comparison retail floorspace in Preston City Centre is consistent with the hierarchy and will serve some of the comparison retail needs of residents throughout Central Lancashire and beyond. Both these matters were explored in detail at the Core Strategy examination.

Summary

31. South Ribble Borough Council has demonstrated a high level of co-operation with other authorities and public bodies in the preparation of the DPD. In particular, it has participated in a number of joint projects with other authorities on key evidence base documents and has worked closely with key infrastructure providers to ensure that the DPD will deliver infrastructure as required.
32. This approach has resulted in a robust and sound evidence base for the DPD, which is reflected in the positive support the DPD has received from neighbouring authorities and other bodies featured in this document. South Ribble Borough Council is not aware of any fundamental objections to overall soundness by neighbouring authorities and other "prescribed" bodies (as reflected in public representations or subsequent discussions) and no concerns have been expressed by those same organisations regarding the level of co-operation engaged with by the Council.
33. In relation to future co-operation, South Ribble Borough Council will continue to work closely with neighbouring authorities, and those in the wider region, on all strategic planning matters and will continue to collaborate with infrastructure providers in the Borough, particularly through the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Table A: Duty to Co-operate – Strategic Issues for South Ribble and Evidence of Co-operation in preparing the South Ribble Site Allocations and Development Management Policies DPD

Terminology used

SRBC – South Ribble Borough Council

LCC – Lancashire County Council

Neighbouring Authorities – Chorley, Blackburn with Darwen, Fylde, Preston, Ribble Valley, West Lancashire

Central Lancashire Councils – Chorley, Preston and South Ribble

HCA – Homes and Communities Agency

PCT – Primary Care Trust

LSP – Local Strategic Partnership

Document references: see appendices 1-3

NPPF Strategic Priority (para 156)	What is the nature of the strategic issue? Who is affected/ obliged to co-operate?	Who has co-operated with whom and how was this done?	Evidence	Outcome	Impact on neighbouring authorities
Homes and Jobs	<p>Housing Delivery: to meet identified needs in wider sub-regional housing market context and the need to demonstrate flexibility in meeting housing needs</p> <p>SRBC and neighbouring authorities</p>	<p>SRBC has co-operated with neighbouring authorities in defining the housing requirements proposed in the DPD through joint evidence base documents for the Central Lancashire authorities (SHLAA, SHMA, Viability Studies), informal dialogue and formal consultation with neighbouring authorities at each stage of preparation of the Core Strategy / DPD.</p>	<p>Documents: SRE030, SRE031, SRE033, SD18, HRC1, SRE030, SRE040, SRE015b, SRE016b, SRE016a, SRSD005</p> <p>Regional Strategy (RS)</p> <p>Central Lancashire JAC</p> <p>Lancashire Development Plans Officers' Group (DPOG)</p> <p>Housing Market Partnership</p> <p>CIL charging schedule</p>	<p>No objections received from neighbouring authorities to the Central Lancashire Core Strategy in relation to the quantum or location of housing allocations proposed to achieve the RSS-driven housing requirement in South Ribble</p> <p>No requests for or offers made by neighbouring authorities to meet any of South Ribble's housing requirements.</p>	<p>As the housing allocations proposed in the DPD meets the requirements of the RSS and Adopted Core Strategy, the DPD does not require neighbouring authorities to deliver any part of South Ribble's housing requirement.</p> <p>The housing requirements that are set in the Core Strategy preclude South Ribble from meeting the housing requirements of any neighbouring authority.</p>

NPPF Strategic Priority (para 156)	What is the nature of the strategic issue? Who is affected/ obliged to co-operate?	Who has co-operated with whom and how was this done?	Evidence	Outcome	Impact on neighbouring authorities
	<p>Employment Land Delivery: to stimulate economic growth and provide new jobs</p> <p>SRBC and neighbouring authorities, LCC</p>	<p>SRBC has co-operated with neighbouring authorities in defining the employment land target proposed in the Local Plan through joint evidence base documents (Chorley, Preston and South Ribble Employment Land Review), informal dialogue and formal consultation with neighbouring authorities at each stage of preparation of the Core Strategy / DPD.</p>	<p>consultation</p> <p>Documents: EB16, SRSD005</p> <p>Regional Strategy (RS)</p> <p>Central Lancashire JAC</p> <p>Lancashire Development Plans Officers' Group (DPOG)</p>	<p>The proposed provision of employment land was a matter of detailed deliberation at the Core Strategy examination, with representations made by Blackburn-with-Darwen to the effect that the allocation was too high. The Central Lancashire authorities updated the requirement during the examination, and made a small reduction in the overall provision, which was accepted by the Inspector and incorporated into the adopted Core Strategy.</p>	<p>The employment land allocation proposed in the DPD meets the requirements for South Ribble which were set in the Core Strategy. Neighbouring authorities will not be required to deliver any of the Borough's employment land.</p> <p>There are two Strategic employment-led sites in South Ribble, at Cuerden and at BAE Systems, Samlesbury. These sites, identified in the Core Strategy, are designed to meet sub-regional and regional requirements for strategic employment needs including (at BAE Systems) investment in advanced engineering and manufacturing. When developed, the sites will meet some of the</p>

NPPF Strategic Priority (para 156)	What is the nature of the strategic issue? Who is affected/ obliged to co-operate?	Who has co-operated with whom and how was this done?	Evidence	Outcome	Impact on neighbouring authorities
	<p>Commuting patterns: South Ribble forms part of the recognised Central Lancashire Travel to Work Area, which shows that there is a close correlation between work and housing in the sub-region.</p> <p>SRBC, LCC</p>	<p>SRBC has consulted with neighbouring authorities and with transport authorities regarding housing and employment land policies in its DPD through formal consultation at each stage of the Core Strategy / DPD preparation.</p> <p>Studies conducted with LCC and the Highways Agency (including the Central Lancashire Transport Masterplan) have explored potential transport infrastructure improvements that may help to address any increased commuting using the trunk road network, including any implications around the Strategic Sites at Cuerden and BAE Systems, Samlesbury.</p>	<p>Documents: SRE021, SRE043a, SRSD005</p> <p>Central Lancashire JAC</p> <p>Lancashire Development Plans Officers' Group (DPOG)</p> <p>LCC Local Transport Plan 3</p>	<p>SRBC has close economic links with neighbouring authorities and anticipates that this relationship will continue. There is a clear working relationship between SRBC and the various transport authorities.</p> <p>The transport studies have informed the production of the Central Lancashire Core Strategy Infrastructure Delivery Plan / Schedule. The DPD proposes to deliver new housing and employment development (and with it new employment opportunities), and it contains a clear requirement for investment in transport infrastructure alongside new employment or</p>	<p>employment land needs of neighbouring authorities.</p> <p>The DPD confirms and continues South Ribble's role as part of the Central Lancashire Travel to Work Area, with a balance of employment and housing provision, thus avoiding any significant change in commuting patterns which would affect neighbouring authorities.</p>

NPPF Strategic Priority (para 156)	What is the nature of the strategic issue? Who is affected/ obliged to co-operate?	Who has co-operated with whom and how was this done?	Evidence	Outcome	Impact on neighbouring authorities
				housing development.	
Retail, leisure and other commercial development	<p>Retail provision and the sub-regional hierarchy of centres: South Ribble provides one Key Service Centre at Leyland, four District Centres at Penwortham, Bamber Bridge, Longton, and Tardy Gate, and one out-of-town retail park at the Capitol Centre, Walton-le-Dale.</p> <p>South Ribble residents are reliant on centres in other authorities for access to some higher-order retail goods.</p> <p>SRBC and neighbouring authorities, LCC.</p>	<p>SRBC has undertaken a joint Central Lancashire Retail and Leisure Review with Preston and Chorley, and consulted with neighbouring authorities and transport authorities regarding retail policy and the hierarchy of centres at each stage of the Core Strategy / DPD preparation.</p> <p>The Adopted Core Strategy has identified the settlement and retail hierarchies for Central Lancashire. It includes central Preston as the most suitable location for major mixed-use investment including comparison retailing, to serve the Central Lancashire sub-region.</p>	<p>Documents: SRE036, SRE017a, SRSD005</p> <p>Regional Strategy (RS)</p> <p>Central Lancashire JAC</p> <p>Lancashire Development Plans Officers' Group (DPOG)</p> <p>CIL charging schedule consultation</p>	<p>The Central Lancashire Core Strategy does not require major investment in retailing South Ribble. The DPD directs retail development uses to Leyland Town Centre and to the smaller centres where appropriate to their location and size. It also guides and qualifies the scope for retail or leisure development at the Capitol Centre, at Walton-le-Dale.</p> <p>Neighbouring authorities have raised no objections to other aspects of retail policy at South Ribble.</p>	<p>The DPD confirms the status of the retail centres in South Ribble, and proposes a proportionate approach to investment in retail and leisure provision.</p> <p>The DPD does not propose to make South Ribble self-sufficient in higher-order comparison goods. SRBC will continue to rely on neighbouring authorities and the larger retail centres in the sub-region for a proportion of its comparison retail provision.</p>

NPPF Strategic Priority (para 156)	What is the nature of the strategic issue? Who is affected/ obliged to co-operate?	Who has co-operated with whom and how was this done?	Evidence	Outcome	Impact on neighbouring authorities
	<p>Leyland Town Centre Masterplan: Leyland Masterplan focuses on improving the fabric, vitality and presentation of town centre. While this masterplan will make the town centre more attractive to a wider part of the Borough, it is not anticipated that it will draw significant business from other centres outside the Borough.</p> <p>SRBC and neighbouring authorities, LCC, landowners</p>	<p>SRBC has an established Masterplan for Leyland town centre which was adopted in 2009. SRBC has maintained a working relationship with LCC as key player in the delivery of the masterplan.</p> <p>SRBC has consulted with neighbouring authorities formally at each stage of the Core Strategy / DPD preparation on proposals for Leyland town centre.</p>	<p>Document: SRE037 Central Lancashire JAC CIL charging schedule consultation</p>	<p>Neighbouring authorities have raised no objections to the proposals for revitalising Leyland town centre.</p>	<p>The promotion of Leyland as a Key Service Centre is not anticipated to have an impact on neighbouring authorities, unduly compete with neighbouring centres, or impact negatively on the vitality of other retail centres.</p>
Infrastructure	<p>Transport: While most of the impacts on the transport network from development in South Ribble will be within the Borough, SRBC's transport network takes traffic through the Borough to and from neighbouring authorities, and some limited impacts</p>	<p>Studies conducted with LCC and the Highways Agency (including the Central Lancashire Transport Masterplan) have explored potential transport infrastructure improvements that may be required alongside new development.</p>	<p>Documents: SRE021, SRE043a Central Lancashire JAC Lancashire Development Plans Officers' Group (DPOG) LCC Local Transport Plan 3 CIL charging schedule</p>	<p>Proposals for new or improved strategic transport infrastructure.</p>	<p>While the impacts of the DPD proposals on transport infrastructure will be most keenly felt within South Ribble, there will inevitably be some additional usage of transport infrastructure within neighbouring authorities as a result of the DPD proposals, in a</p>

NPPF Strategic Priority (para 156)	What is the nature of the strategic issue? Who is affected/ obliged to co-operate?	Who has co-operated with whom and how was this done?	Evidence	Outcome	Impact on neighbouring authorities
	<p>may be felt on the strategic transport network.</p> <p>SRBC and neighbouring authorities, LCC, Highways Agency and Network Rail</p>	<p>SRBC has consulted with neighbouring authorities and with transport authorities regarding the impact of policies in the DPD on transport infrastructure at each stage of the Core Strategy / DPD preparation.</p>	<p>consultation</p>		<p>manner consistent with existing travel patterns.</p>
	<p>Water-related infrastructure: Parts of the Borough are affected by a Waste Water Treatment constraint, water supply issues, surface water issues, drainage and / or flood risk – however, none of these constraints have an affect on areas outside the Borough.</p> <p>SRBC, Environment Agency and United Utilities</p>	<p>The Central Lancashire authorities commissioned a Water Cycle study to inform the Core Strategy, examining the existing and anticipated provision for water supplies, surface water, drainage and flood risk. SRBC consulted with neighbouring authorities regarding policies in its DPD affected by these matters through formal consultation at each stage of the Core Strategy / DPD preparation.</p> <p>The Central Lancashire authorities engaged closely with United Utilities</p>	<p>Documents: SRE021, SRE042a, SRE027, SRSD008</p> <p>Central Lancashire JAC</p> <p>Lancashire Development Plans Officers' Group (DPOG)</p> <p>CIL charging schedule consultation</p>	<p>No objections to the DPD have been received from neighbouring authorities, the Environment Agency or United Utilities in relation to these matters.</p> <p>With the support of the Environment Agency and United Utilities, the DPD policies have been refined to enable development within an appropriate timescale and without affecting of flood risk, surface water drainage or water supply.</p>	<p>No impact on neighbouring authorities.</p>

NPPF Strategic Priority (para 156)	What is the nature of the strategic issue? Who is affected/ obliged to co-operate?	Who has co-operated with whom and how was this done?	Evidence	Outcome	Impact on neighbouring authorities
		and the Environment Agency in preparing the Water Cycle Study, and throughout the preparation of the Core Strategy, meeting regularly with both organisations in an attempt to arrive at sustainable proposals for future development. There are no significant or insurmountable water-related issues outstanding in South Ribble.			
	<p>Minerals and Waste: Minerals and Waste planning policy matters affecting South Ribble are dealt with by Lancashire County Council.</p> <p>SRBC, LCC, Coal Authority</p>	SRBC has engaged with consultation on the Lancashire Minerals and Waste LDF. The Central Lancashire authorities engaged with the Coal Authority in relation to contaminated land and land stability risks associated with coal mining.	Documents: LCC Minerals and Waste Development Framework Lancashire Development Plans Officers' Group (DPOG)	Mineral Safeguarding Areas and their implications for new developments are set out in Policy M2 of the emerging Minerals and Waste Local Plan and can include, for example, the need for prior extraction.	No impact on neighbouring authorities.
Health, security, community	Community Infrastructure: New development as proposed	A wide range of community infrastructure providers, including those	Documents: SRE021, SRE026, SRE044a, SRSD009, SRE012,	The DPD contains policies to secure appropriate community	South Ribble will seek to ensure that sufficient infrastructure is provided

NPPF Strategic Priority (para 156)	What is the nature of the strategic issue? Who is affected/ obliged to co-operate?	Who has co-operated with whom and how was this done?	Evidence	Outcome	Impact on neighbouring authorities
and cultural infrastructure	by the DPD will have implications for a range of health, education, community and cultural infrastructure providers. SRBC, LCC, NHS, Emergency Services, Sport England and South Ribble LSP	listed, were engaged on the DPD both through the formal consultation at each stage of preparation and more informally through the LSP, the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and the Health Impact Assessment.	SRE011 South Ribble Sustainable Community Strategy CIL charging schedule consultation	infrastructure alongside the development of land in SRBC. There has been broad support for the DPD as a result of co-operation with a wide range of organisations.	through development so that there will be no additional reliance on infrastructure in neighbouring authorities.
Climate change and natural and historic environment	Managing impacts on habitats and landscapes: New development, individually or cumulatively, can have significant impacts on habitats or landscape character designations, and such impacts should be avoided, reduced or mitigated for if at all possible. SRBC, LCC, neighbouring authorities, and Natural England	Habitats Regulations Assessments (HRA) scoping reports have been carried out to support the Core Strategy and the Site Allocations DPD, and these documents have formed part of the consultation with neighbouring authorities, with particular input from Natural England.	Documents: SRE024, SRE024, SRE039a, SRE045, SRSD008 Central Lancashire JAC Lancashire Development Plans Officers' Group (DPOG)	The HRAs have informed the Central Lancashire Core Strategy and the DPD, and produced plans with an understanding of the wider, cross-boundary implications of development on International Habitat Sites, both within the Borough and elsewhere in the sub-region.	The HRA identifies where specific mitigation measures will be required in relation to developments in South Ribble affecting international sites in other authorities and the DPD reflects the commitment of South Ribble to work with neighbouring authorities.
	Incorporate sustainable resources into new development: The	SRBC has produced a Sustainability Appraisal of the DPD, and has adopted	Documents: SRE034, SRE034, SRE022, SRE039a, SRE045,	No objections to the DPD have been received from neighbouring authorities,	No impact on neighbouring authorities.

NPPF Strategic Priority (para 156)	What is the nature of the strategic issue? Who is affected/ obliged to co-operate?	Who has co-operated with whom and how was this done?	Evidence	Outcome	Impact on neighbouring authorities
	<p>reduction of energy use and carbon dioxide emissions from new development, and encouraging the generation and use of energy from renewable and low carbon sources.</p> <p>SRBC, LCC, neighbouring authorities</p>	<p>the Core Strategy policies for the use of sustainable resources and for the development of renewable and low carbon energy schemes. A wide range of organisations with development interests was involved in the preparation of the Core Strategy.</p> <p>SRBC has consulted with neighbouring authorities formally at each stage of the Core Strategy / DPD preparation on proposals for sustainable resources and renewable and low carbon energy.</p>	<p>SRSD005</p> <p>Central Lancashire JAC</p> <p>Lancashire Development Plans Officers' Group (DPOG)</p>	<p>in relation to these matters.</p>	

Appendix 1: Central Lancashire Core Strategy Submission documents

South Ribble Reference		Central Lancashire Reference
SRE002	Central Lancashire Core Strategy – July 2012	SD1
N/A	Proposed Minor Changes to the Core Strategy March 2011	SD2
SRE020	Regulation 30 (1)(e) Report: Statement of Representations made at Publication Stage and Main Issues Arising March 2011	SD3.
SRE021	Central Lancashire Core Strategy Infrastructure Delivery Schedule* March 2011	SD4.
SRE034	Central Lancashire Core Strategy Sustainability Appraisal Report* March 2011	SD7.
SRE024	Central Lancashire Core Strategy Revised Habitat Regulations Assessment Screening Report* March 2011	SD8.
SRE025	Central Lancashire Core Strategy Equality Impact Assessment November 2010	SD9.
SRE026	Central Lancashire Core Strategy Health Impact Assessment November 2010	SD10.
SRE027	Central Lancashire Core Strategy Rural Proofing Assessment December 2010	SD11.
SRE028	Regulation 30 (1)(d): Central Lancashire Core Strategy Statement of Consultation December 2010	SD12.
SRE029	Regulation (30)(1)(d): Central Lancashire Core Strategy Statement of Representations - Preferred Core Strategy December 2010	SD12a
SRE030	Central Lancashire Core Strategy Strategic Sites and Locations Assessment Background Topic Paper 1* March 2011	SD13.
SRE031	Central Lancashire Core Strategy Employment Requirements Background Topic Paper 2 December 2010	SD14.
SRE032	Central Lancashire Core Strategy Climate Change Background Topic Paper 3 December 2010	SD15.
SRE033	Central Lancashire Core Strategy Evolution of the Core Strategy Background Topic Paper 4 December 2010	SD16.
No South Ribble reference	Central Lancashire Core Strategy Rationale for Reduced Housing Requirement Background Topic Paper 6 December 2010	SD18.
SRE034	Central Lancashire Core Strategy Sustainability Appraisal - Summary of Recommendations Background Topic Paper 7 December 2010	SD19.
SRSD004	South Ribble Statement of Community Involvement March 2006	SD21.

Appendix 2: Central Lancashire Core Strategy Housing Related Changes documents

South Ribble Reference		Central Lancashire Reference
No South Ribble Reference	Proposed Housing Related Changes	HRC1.
SRE030	Core Strategy Strategic Sites and Locations Background Topic Paper 1 - Revised November 2011	HRC2.
SRE022	Core Strategy Revised Sustainability Appraisal Report - November 2011	HRC3.
SRE023	Core Strategy Revised Sustainability Appraisal Evidence Gathering Report	HRC3a.
SRE024	Core Strategy Revised Habitats Regulations Assessment Screening Report - November 2011	HRC4.

Appendix 3: Central Lancashire Core Strategy Supporting Evidence Based documents

South Ribble Reference		Central Lancashire Reference
SRE036	Retail Report - Tardy Gate Designation Supporting Document 2010	EB1.
SRE037	Leyland Town Centre Masterplan Executive Summary 2007	EB3.
SRE038	South Ribble Citizen's Panel Survey 2009	EB5.
SRE039a	South Ribble Opportunities for Renewable Energy 2010	EB8.
SRE039B	South Ribble Opportunities for Renewable Energy 2010 - Maps	EB8a.
SRE040	South Ribble Borough Council Housing Viability Assessment 2010	EB11.
SRE041 (2010/11)	South Ribble Annual Monitoring Report 2009-2010	EB14.
No South Ribble reference	Chorley, Preston and South Ribble Employment Land Review 2009	EB16.
SRE042a	Central Lancashire Strategic Flood Risk Assessment 2007	EB17.
SRE042b	Central Lancashire Strategic Flood Risk Assessment – App. A	EB17a.
SRE042c	Central Lancashire Strategic Flood Risk Assessment – App. B	EB17b.
SRE042d	Central Lancashire Strategic Flood Risk Assessment – App. B2A S. Ribble	EB17e.
SRE042e	Central Lancashire Strategic Flood Risk Assessment – App. B2B S. Ribble	EB17f.

South Ribble Reference		Central Lancashire Reference
SRE042f	Central Lancashire Strategic Flood Risk Assessment – App. B2C S. Ribble	EB17g.
SRE042g	Central Lancashire Strategic Flood Risk Assessment – App. B2D S. Ribble	EB17h.
SRE042h	Central Lancashire Strategic Flood Risk Assessment – App. E2 S. Ribble	EB17l.
SRE043a	Central Lancashire Transport Study 2008	EB18.
SRE043b	Central Lancashire Transport Study Appendices	EB18a.
SRE015b	Central Lancashire Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2010	EB19.
SRE015c	Central Lancashire SHLAA - Appendix 2A	EB19a.
SRE015d	Central Lancashire SHLAA - Appendix 2B	EB19b.
SRE015e	Central Lancashire SHLAA - SHLAA Supplement 2010	EB19c.
SRE015f	South Ribble SHLAA 2010 Maps	EB19f.
SRE016b	Central Lancashire Strategic Housing Market Assessment 2009	EB20.
SRE016c	Central Lancashire Strategic Housing Market Assessment Appendices	EB20a.
SRE017a	Central Lancashire Retail and Leisure Review 2010	EB21.
SRE017b	Appendix 1 - Retail Study Catchment Plan	EB21a.
SRE017c	Appendix 3 - South Ribble Convenience Capacity Assessment	EB21c.
SRE017d	Appendix 5 - Comparison Capacity Assessment	EB21e.
SRE017e	Appendix 7 - Leyland Town Centre Boundary Plan	EB21g.
SRE017f	South Ribble Local Centres 2010	EB21j.
SRE044a	Central Lancashire Community Profile Study 2008 - Introduction	EB22.
SRE044b	Central Lancashire Community Profile Study - South Ribble	EB22b.
SRE044c	Indices of Deprivation 2007	EB22e.
SRE016a	Central Lancashire Housing Requirements Study 2011	EB23.
SRE045	Lancashire Sustainable Energy Study – SRBC Renewable Energy Potential	EB25.
SRE027	Central Lancashire and Blackpool Outline Water Cycle Study 2011	EB27.
SRE019a	Central Lancashire Open Space, Sport and Recreation Review 2012 Final	EB29.
SRE019b	Central Lancashire Playing Pitch Strategy 2012	EB29a.
SRE019c	Central Lancashire Open Space Policy Implications and Recommendations 2012	EB29b.
SRE019d	Central Lancashire Playing Pitch Assessment 2012	EB29c.
SRE019e	Central Lancashire Playing Pitch Strategy	EB29d.

South Ribble Reference		Central Lancashire Reference
	Appendices 2012	

Appendix 4: South Ribble Site Allocations DPD Supporting Evidence Based Documents

South Ribble Reference		Central Lancashire Reference
SRSD005	Sustainability Appraisal	SR1.
SRSD008	Habitats Regulations Assessment	SR2.
SRSD009	Rural Proofing Assessment	SR3.
SRE012	Equality Impact Assessment	SR4.
SRE011	Health Impact Assessment	SR5.
SRE010	Draft Performance Monitoring Assessment	SR6.

[*] Items marked with an asterisk were updated during the examination into the Core Strategy: the updated version is referred to in the appendices.